The Taking Back of America

Beginning in the year 2015, did the U.S Military plan on occupying the District of Columbia for the sake of temporarily occupying the territory, in order to remove the enemy from the Territory.

In June 2025, the U.S Military in collaboration with American Patriots, adopted their Military War Manual which is a set of military laws that guide the military in times of occupying hostile territories.

Coincidently, just four days after the War Manual was adopted, Donald J. Trump made his announcement to become a candidate for President of the United States of America. On that date, the Patriots, the Military, and Donald Trump came together, in an organized movement to form what today is often referred to as M.A.G.A or Make America Great Again.

A brief history of events leading up to the year 2015, we must take into account that our American Republic had ceased to exist at the end of Franklin Pierce's presidency (1857-1861). He is often regarded as the last American President of the American Federal Republic, because what happened next was a foreign enemy would soon take control of the National District, and transfer all powers over to a Corporation, to be known as U.S.A INC. Beginning with the presidencies of James Buchanon and Abraham Lincoln, to whom both so happen to be BAR Associated Lawyers, begin the 'transfer of power' from the American Government, to a newly created government to be created over a number of years beginning in the year 1860, and finalizing in the year 1893.

Beginning in 1860, the United States Government that was created by the 1789 Constitution, created a "Corporate Government" that today acts as the Deep, Administrative Militarized Municipal Government. The purpose of this lies in Abraham Lincoln's push to incorporate the District of Columbia, with the intent to collateralize all the federal property, to borrow money from the International Bankers. President Lincoln was attempting to not only keep the Federal Union together, he was progressively choosing to expand the government throughout each of the Sovereign States.

For more on this thirty year period, click the following link to learn more, USA INC which goes into more detail on a number of events of how the "District" became an incorporated territory, governed by a Corporate, Administrative Municipal State.



In order to maintain their control, the Established Order holding authority over the United States Corporation, that land company, hands out a set of Tax Subsidies, Grants, Benefits, Loans, Allowances, and Credits to keep them silent, and busy today.

- 1870-1890 • Congress began "collecting data" on all Americans private and commercial through the process of "Collectivism" by means of banking acts, the settlement acts, and other acts that attempted to convince Americans of giving up their sovereign property rights through the means of license, certificates, and commercial contracts.
- 1890-1920 • Congress began the process of Corporatism whereas America began to encourage businesses to incorporate and attach themselves to the U.S Corporate Government (est. 1871), creating a period in time where Americans were contracting themselves ever more tightly to the federal government, thus doing business within federal territory.
- 1920-1950 • Congress dives into Fascism, creating policies which heavily regulate those corporations, with the intent to control foreign commerce across the 50 States who do business within that Federal Territory - hence Multi Nationalism became the hallmark tradition of today,,, (Small Businesses began to de-platform).
- 1950-1980 • Congress begins to dive into Socialism, starting to engage with Americans, by creating policies expanding upon Social Security by means of Disability, Survivorship, Insurance Benefits, creating Medicare, Medicaid, and Other Social Platforms we have grown to expect of today., including the Affordable Care Act, let alone other forms of benefits.
- 1980-2010 • by 1990, Congress began to really work to align federal policies with more Global Policies, beginning to ship away, sell American tangible property rights off to foreign countries, businesses, persons with the goal of becoming more of a beneficiary of foreign labor, let alone seeking out, and gaining more foreign investments to fund federal roads, infrastructure, etc.

The destruction of the American Republic had been completed by the Year 1990, to which was the Year George Bush made his profound announcement to the world...

1990 as they had a pretty handle on the "company", after the revolution of 1860-1880 and the Bankruptcy Period of 1930-1950 after years of stealing our sovereignty. After George Bush announced the New World Order in 1990, The Socialists grabbed control of the Republican Party in 1997 with the Neocon Movement called a New American Century, it led to massive progressive policies being implemented, the following acts became adopted - NAFTA 1993, PATRIOT ACT 2001, ACA 2010...

The money laundering had begun during this period, and the goal was to strip the American People of their personal sovereignty, allowing for corporations to usurp that sovereignty by displacing all the rights of personal sovereignty into the hands of corporations.

- *In 1886, . . . in the case of Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad Company, the U.S. Supreme Court decided that a private corporation is a person and entitled to the legal rights and protections the Constitutions affords to any person.*
- *The Constitution makes no mention of corporations, it is a fairly clear case of the Court taking it upon itself to rewrite the Constitution.*
- *The doctrine of corporate personhood, which subsequently became a cornerstone of corporate law, was introduced into this 1886 decision without argument.*
- *According to the official case record, Supreme Court Justice Morrison Remick Waite simply pronounced before the beginning of argument in the case of Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad Company that the court does not wish to hear argument on the question whether the provision in the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution, which forbids a State to deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws, applies to these corporations. We are all of opinion that it does.*
- *The court reporter duly entered into the summary record of the Court's findings that the defendant Corporations are persons within the intent of the clause in section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, which forbids a State to deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.*

By an act of congress approved July 27, 1866, the Atlantic & Pacific Railroad Company was created, with power to construct and maintain, by certain designated routes, a continuous railroad and telegraph line from Springfield, Missouri, to the Pacific. For the purpose-which is avowed by congress-of facilitating the construction of the line, and thereby securing the safe and speedy transportation of mails, troops, munitions of war, and public stores, a right of way over the public domain was given to the company, and a liberal grant of the public lands was made to it. The railroad to be constructed, and every part of it, was declared to be a post route and military road, subject to the use of the United States for postal, military, naval, and all other government service, and to such regulations as congress might impose for restricting the charges for government transportation.

Do you see, how the newly created Corporate Government, now known as USA INC, has now become an Administrative, Militarized, Corporate Municipal State, thus enslaving all Americans to become bound to, and forever indebted to this "territory", to which later on became enslaved to a group of International Bankers, Financiers, and Corporate Contractors to provide revenues to this government, with the intent on forcing, or holding American Citizens to pay 'taxes' to this international corporation for the sole right to launder money into the hands of these corporations?

However, by the year 2006, the birth of the Tea Party Movement was born and during Obama's first year a group of State Delegates met in Illinois to draft and adopt a Declaration, a List of Resolves becoming known as the 14 Articles of Freedom. This became the early movement to create the America First Policies. In 2014 that Movement became the Patriot Movement, adopting the 1776 Revolution to Restore the Republic. This Delegates (2010 to 2014) began nominating new America First Candidates to the U.S HOUSE slowing down the progressive movement, used the Precinct Strategy to elect new Legislative Delegates, County and Precinct Committeemen and Women into State, County, and Precinct levels of Self Governance. This began to move policies back to the right. Back in line with the 1789 Constitution, leading to Donald Trump taking the helm as the Executive of this newfound Provisional Govt.

All this leads us back to the year 2015, a time whereas the USA INC has gone bankrupt (2015), and where those American Patriots began organizing together, utilizing a precinct strategy to take back control of the Republican Party, with the intent to collaborate with the U.S Military to whom adopts the War Manual, while convincing Donald J. Trump to become a candidate for President.

And today, this leads us to page 780 of the Military War Manual, and section 11.3 - the public matter of a Belligerent Occupation, defined as such:

*The law of **belligerent occupation** (which from now on we will refer to simply as the law of occupation) governs the relationship between the occupying power, on the one hand, and the wholly or partially occupied State and its inhabitants, including refugees and stateless persons, on the other. It is applicable only in international armed conflicts.*

So, by Executive Orders 13818, 13848, 13919, 13959, 13961, and 13971, signed into law by President Donald Trump, he has reincorporated America to be known as the District of America, to which today, 'we' are governed by a military occupation, to which the sole intent is to temporarily, govern over the territory, to remove all bad actors, criminals, illegal migrants, with the goal to reconstitute the republic, by first cleaning up our cities, our public streets, to removing crime, to rebuilding, and putting back in place, the American Federal Republic back in the National District.

In the meantime, in States such as California, Minnesota, Washington, Maine, Illinois to name a few, are working against this plan, sponsoring armed rebellionists to stop this occupation of their areas. They are fully funded by the likes of George Soros, and Other Non-Profit Corporations to whom raise revenues by money laundering public taxes, and funding these protests, riots, vandals.

Does Donald Trump really have to invoke the Insurrection Act to resolve this public matter, or are 'we' the Patriots, led by the U.S Military, and our Commander-In-Chief, Donald Trump totally in control, by means of this Military Operation to take back control of America, by occupying, and temporary grabbing control of these state, county, and city governments to remove bad faith actors?

And this brings back to the Military War Manual, Section 11.3, which reads as follows - In the home territory of parties to the conflict, the application of the GC shall cease on the general close of military operations. In the case of occupied territory, the application of the GC shall cease one year after the general close of military operations; however, the Occupying Power shall be bound, for the duration of the occupation, to the extent that such State exercises the functions of government in such territory, by the provisions of the following Articles of the GC:

- *1 through 12 (general provisions and common articles, e.g., the Protecting Power continues to function, and the derogation for security reasons continues to apply);*
- *27, 29 through 34 (humane treatment);*
- *47 (preserves rights as against change by annexation or arrangement with the local authorities so long as occupation lasts);*
- *49 (transfers, evacuation, and deportation);*
- *51, 52 (prohibitions against certain compulsory service and protection of workers);*
- *53 (respect for property);*
- *59, 61 through 63 (facilitating relief programs);*
- *64 through 77 (criminal proceedings); and*
- *143 (access by Protecting Powers and the ICRC).*

The one-year time limit for the cessation of the application of the GC (apart from the provisions that continue to apply to the extent that the Occupying Power exercises the functions of government in occupied territory) was proposed to account for situations like those of Germany and Japan after World War II. AP I provides that the 1949 Geneva Conventions and AP I shall cease to apply, in the case of occupied territories, on the termination of the occupation; coalition partners that are Occupying Powers and Parties to AP I would be bound by this rule.

In any case, individuals entitled to GC protection who remain in the custody of the Occupying Power following the end of occupation retain that protection until their release, repatriation, or re-establishment. In addition, it may be appropriate following the end of occupation to continue to apply by analogy certain rules from the law of belligerent occupation, even if such rules do not apply as a matter of law. Military occupation of enemy territory involves a complicated, trilateral set of legal relations between the Occupying Power, the temporarily ousted sovereign authority, and the inhabitants of occupied territory. The fact of occupation gives the Occupying Power the right to govern enemy territory temporarily, but does not transfer sovereignty over occupied territory to the Occupying Power. The right to govern the territory of the enemy during its military occupation is one of the incidents of war. By the fact of occupation (i.e., the Occupying Power's established power over occupied territory), the Occupying Power is conferred the authority to exercise some of the rights of sovereignty. The exercise of these sovereign rights also results from the necessity of maintaining law and order, indispensable both to the inhabitants and to the occupying force, and the failure or inability of the legitimate government to exercise its functions, or the undesirability of allowing it to do so. Belligerent occupation in a foreign war, being based upon the possession of enemy territory, necessarily implies that the sovereignty of the occupied territory is not vested in the Occupying Power. Occupation is essentially **provisional**.

Because sovereignty is not vested in the Occupying Power, the fact of military occupation does not authorize the Occupying Power to take certain actions. For example, the Occupying Power is not authorized by the fact of belligerent occupation to annex occupied territory or to create a new State. In addition, the Occupying Power may not compel the inhabitants of occupied territory to become its nationals or otherwise to swear allegiance to it. Similarly, in view of the provisional nature of belligerent occupation, the authority of the Occupying Power under occupation law has been interpreted as being subject to limitations on the ability of the Occupying Power to alter institutions of government permanently or change the constitution of a country

- Amendments 13, 14, 16-27 Could All be Removed from the Constitution, resetting the document back to 1857-1861, prior to all changes taking place.

The authority of the legitimate power having in fact passed into the hands of the Occupying Power, the latter shall take all the measures in its power to restore, and ensure, as far as possible, public order and safety, while respecting, unless absolutely prevented, the laws in force in the country. This principle has been recognized as customary international law. The Occupying Power has a general duty to maintain public order and to provide for the preservation of rights of the inhabitants, including rights to their private property.

1. *The Occupying Power's duty to take all the measures in its power to restore and ensure, as far as possible, public order and safety also provides its authority to take such actions. For example, the Occupying Power may enact provisions to maintain the orderly government of the territory.*
2. *The duty to respect, unless absolutely prevented, the laws in force in the country prohibits the Occupying Power from arbitrarily exercising its authority to suspend, repeal, or change the municipal law applicable to occupied territory.*

Do you see it now, President Donald Trump is a war-time president, and was chosen to become our "Commander-In-Chief" of this Military Operation, led by American Patriots to who led a massive movement beginning between 2006-2012, to preemptively, and completely take control of the Republican Party, utilizing the precinct strategy, to nominate and choose new elected leaders at the Federal, State, and Local levels of American Government. The first Presidential term (2017-2021) was effectively used to invoke our organic, and sovereign right to take control of our National Departments of Treasury, State, Interior, and War, while the Presidential term (2025-2029) has come to be utilized to put into effect, and invoke our "military" right to preserve life, liberty, property, and prosperity.

It was planned for, that Joe Biden would serve a President over the territory (2021-2025) all while Donald Trump through C.O.G would remain as Commander-In-Chief in the Office of the Former President in Mar-La-Go, to effectively maintain law, and order, to keep the peace, and safety of the inhabitants, while 'we' the patriots show the American People the crimes of USA Inc.

All this being said, do we rightfully have to invoke the Insurrection Act, or, are we, as per this Military Operation, already in charge of the Territory, as per the Military War Manual itself?

The 1807 Insurrection Act should only be utilized in very specific times, for where "war" between the Occupying Power and those to whom stage the protests, riots, and rebellions, break out.

Sources:

Military War Manual, Department of Defense Law of War Manual (Updated July 2023)

USA INC, Untitled document

Belligerent Operation, The law of armed conflict - Lesson 9 - Belligerent occupation

1886 Supreme Court Decision, U.S. Supreme Court - SANTA CLARA COUNTY v. SOUTHERN PAC. R. CO., 118 U.S. 394 (1886)

TAKE BACK AMERICA CAMPAIGN

Written By, Mike Zitterich